

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/501,519	12/28/2004	Rodney Kielt	740172-22	8276
22204 NIXON PEAB	7590 05/05/200 ODY LLP	EXAMINER		
401 9TH STRE			PATTERSON, MARIE D	
SUITE 900 WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2128			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	1,0020012120		3728	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. | Applicant(s) | 10/601,519 | KIELT ET AL. | Examiner | Art Unit | Marie Patterson | 3728 | - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -- reply

		Marie Patterson	3728	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	ldress
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA STATE AND A STATE AND A STATE AND A STATE AND A STATE A STATE AND A STATE A STATE AND A STATE	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status				
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24.Ar. This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-10 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-10 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl	
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b] Some * c] None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application of the Applicati	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachmen	nt(s)			
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	

Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Pager Nots Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application. 6) Other:	
S Retect and Trademark Office.	5)	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/501,519

Art Unit: 3728

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claims 1-4 and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a or e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over
 Panaccione(2003/0005599) in view of Giese (5572805).

Panaccione shows an orthotic insert comprising a first portion (10) with a tongue (12) and metatarsal raise (14) and a second portion (20 and 30) substantially as claimed and teaches forming the different portions from materials with different properties except for the exact shape of the second portion and explicitly stating the % difference in resistance. Giese teaches forming a support second portion in a J-shape (12, figure 73). The exact % difference in resistance is considered either inherent or at least obvious. It would have been obvious to make the second portion J-shaped as taught by Giese and to make the materials with the % difference as claimed in the insert of Panaccione to provide greater support on the medial or lateral side and since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

 Claims 1-4 and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied above further in view of Hauser (6247250). Application/Control Number: 10/501,519
Art Unit: 3728

Panaccione as modified above shows an orthotic insert substantially as claimed except for explicitly stating that the materials used, i.e. EVA, etc. is capable of being heated and formed to the foot of a wearer. Hauser specifically teaches that EVA is capable of being heated and formed to the foot of a wearer (see column 5 lines 20-25). It would have been obvious to heat form the EVA insert as taught by Hauser in the insert of Panaccione as modified above to form the insert to the exact contour of the foot.

## Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 and 6-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 1. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Other useful information can be obtained at the PTO Home Page at www.uspto.gov.
- In order to avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Center at (571)273-8300 (FORMAL FAXES ONLY). Please identify Examiner Marie Patterson of Art Unit 3728 at the top of your cover sheet.
- Any inquiry concerning the MERITS of this examination from the examiner should be directed to Marie Patterson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4559. The examiner can normally be reached from 6AM 4PM Mon-Wed.

/Marie Patterson/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728 Art Unit: 3728

## Application Number

Application/Control No. Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination KIELT ET AL. 10/501,519 Examiner Art Unit 3728 Marie Patterson

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Part of Paper No. 20080501